

PSU/TH/197
April 1998

Eliminating the low-mass axigluon window

M. A. Doncheski
Department of Physics
The Pennsylvania State University
Mont Alto, PA 17237 USA
and
R. W. Robinett
Department of Physics
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802 USA

Abstract

Using recent collider data, especially on the hadronic width the Z^0 , we exclude axigluons in the currently allowed low-mass window, namely axigluons in the mass range $50 \text{ GeV} < M_A < 120 \text{ GeV}$. Combined with hadron collider data from di-jet production, axigluons with masses below roughly 1 TeV are now completely excluded.

Models which extend the standard color gauge group to $SU_3(L) \times SU_3(R)$ at high energies, so-called chiral color theories, include a wide variety of new particles beyond the standard model with the exact spectrum depending on the details of the theory. All such models, however, necessarily predict the existence of a massive, color-octet gauge boson, the axigluon A , which couples to quarks with an axial vector structure and the same strong interaction coupling strength as QCD. The original models [1] explicitly connected the scale of the breakdown of chiral color to ordinary QCD with the electroweak scale and so even more specifically predicted that axigluons should have masses no larger than $M_A \lesssim 300 \text{ GeV}$. Early bounds from Υ decays [2] quickly found the limit $M_A \gtrsim 25 \text{ GeV}$, while analyses of limits arising from axigluon contributions to the hadronic cross-section in e^+e^- reactions (the R ratio) [3] gave the limit $M_A > 50 \text{ GeV}$ (at 95% confidence level) using then current PEP/PETRA data. Early suggestions [1] that axigluons might be visible as an enhancement in the di-jet cross-section at hadron colliders were first used by Bagger, Schmidt, and King [4] and then by the UA1 collaboration [5] to exclude axigluons in the mass range $125 \text{ GeV} < M_A < 310 \text{ GeV}$. More recent searches for structure in the jet-jet invariant mass at the TEVATRON have led to dramatically enhanced limits, especially for heavy axigluon masses, with CDF data [6] now excluding axigluons in the range $120 \text{ GeV} < M_A < 980 \text{ GeV}$. These are the limits which appear in the Particle Data Group discussion of bounds on the axigluon mass [7] and we note that there is still a window of allowed masses in the range $50 \text{ GeV} < M_A < 120 \text{ GeV}$ which has not yet been excluded. This allowed window constitutes a large fraction of the range in masses (namely, up to $\sim 300 \text{ GeV}$) predicted by the original models which motivated the searches for axigluons and improved limits in this region would be useful in testing chiral color theories. In this note, we will use

several rather different types of recent collider data to exclude axigluons in this mass region for the first time. Combined with the di-jet limits, this will imply that axigluons with masses in the entire range below roughly 1 TeV will be definitively excluded. To the extent that chiral color models are constructed with the scale of color breaking directly tied to the electroweak scale, all such theories are also excluded.

The present authors have recently considered the effects of axigluons on the dominant (90% of the production cross-section) $q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ subprocess contributing to the top quark production cross-section [8] and noted that the inclusion of axigluons with masses in the low-mass window more than doubles the tree-level cross-section. Even with the good agreement of the NLO QCD predictions with the CDF and D0 data, the inclusion of axigluons in the low-mass window is not yet definitively excluded due to the rather large experimental errors, but is definitely disfavored at the $(1-1.5)\sigma$ level. Given the large NLO QCD corrections to the tree-level $q\bar{q}$ process, one might well imagine that a complete NLO analysis, including the effects of low-mass axigluons, would make an unacceptably large contribution to the $t\bar{t}$ cross-section. It was pointed out some time ago, however, that relatively light axigluons can disturb the perturbative calculability of tree-level partial wave amplitudes [10] for processes involving heavy quarks, so that top quarks coupled to sufficiently light axigluons would be strongly interacting. Extending work by Chanowitz, Furman, and Hinchliffe [9] on the interactions of ultra-heavy fermions, one of the present authors [10] found that the $J = 0$ tree-level partial-wave amplitude for $Q\bar{Q} \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$ (via s - and t -channel axigluon exchange) would become non-perturbative (i.e. $|a_0| > 1$) unless the axigluon mass satisfied the inequality $M_A > \sqrt{5\alpha_s/3}M_Q$. Using the measured value of the top quark mass and the apparently very good agreement between the NLO (perturbative!)

QCD predictions for the top-quark production cross-sections and the experimentally observed value, this implies that $M_A \gtrsim 72 \text{ GeV}$, which already improves the older e^+e^- bound, pushing the limit closer to the Z^0 mass.

Other more specialized collider data might also be used to bound the axigluon mass. The associated production of an axigluon with a weak boson via the subprocess $q + \bar{q}' \rightarrow W/Z + A$ is similar to that used for the production of the standard model Higgs boson via $p\bar{p} \rightarrow W/Z + X^0$ with $X^0 = H^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$. Given the expected large branching ratio of the axigluon to $b\bar{b}$ final states ($BR(A \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 1/5$ for 5 active quark flavors) and the much larger coupling of the axigluon to the initial quarks, this channel might easily be used to extract limits on M_A .

The tree-level partonic cross-section for the largest subprocess, namely $q\bar{q}' \rightarrow WA$, is easily found to be

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{d\hat{t}}(q\bar{q}' \rightarrow WA) = \frac{4\alpha_s}{9} \left[\frac{G_F M_W^2}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \frac{|V_{qq'}|^2}{\hat{u}\hat{t}\hat{s}^2} \left[\hat{u}^2 + \hat{t}^2 + 2\hat{s}(M_W^2 + M_A^2) - \frac{M_A^2 M_W^2 (\hat{u}^2 + \hat{t}^2)}{\hat{u}\hat{t}} \right] \quad (1)$$

and at TEVATRON energies we find the following values for total cross-sections times branching ratios (assuming $BR(A \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.2$) for various axigluon masses in the allowed window:

M_A	$\sigma \cdot BR$	M_A	$\sigma \cdot BR$
50 GeV	51 pb	90 GeV	26 pb
60 GeV	46 pb	100 GeV	17 pb
70 GeV	40 pb	110 GeV	16 pb
80 GeV	28 pb	120 GeV	14 pb

(2)

Bhat [11] has recently surveyed limits for many new physics searches at the TEVATRON and presented preliminary data for the production cross-section times branching ratio for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow W + X^0$ with $X^0 = H^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ from CDF (which uses an $l\nu$ tag for the W

bosons). The limits cover the mass range $75 \text{ GeV} \lesssim M_H \lesssim 125 \text{ GeV}$ and are of order $\sigma \cdot BR \approx 15 - 20 \text{ pb}$. Given the estimates above, a complete analysis of this process for the axigluon analog could likely exclude axigluons up to $M_A \approx 80 - 90 \text{ GeV}$, with $M_A > 70 \text{ GeV}$ a seemingly safe estimate of the current bound possible.

Given the huge statistical sample of Z^0 hadronic decay events at LEP, it is perhaps most natural to extend the analyses of Ref. [3] using LEP data. Following the same strategy as employed previously, we compare the value of α_s extracted from low-energy experiments (which is then run up to M_Z) with the value extracted from the hadronic width of the Z^0 at the pole. (The improved limits on M_A mentioned above imply that any changes to the running of α_s due to axigluon effects will be small.) The inclusion of real and virtual axigluons increases the hadronic decay rate (or R value in e^+e^- collisions) by a factor of $(1 + \alpha_s(\sqrt{s})f(\sqrt{s}/M_A)/\pi + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2))$ where the function $f(\sqrt{s}/M_A)$ is derived in Ref. [3]. The Particle Data Group perturbative QCD analysis [12] quotes a value of α_s derived from low-energy data (such as deep-inelastic scattering (excluding HERA), τ decay, Υ width, and lattice calculations), namely, $\alpha_s^{(LE)}(M_Z) = 0.118 \pm 0.004$. The value of α_s extracted from the ratio of hadronic to leptonic decay widths of the Z^0 ($\Gamma_h/\Gamma_\mu = 20.788 \pm 0.0032$, which probes the same QCD corrections as the R value at lower energies) is $\alpha_s^{(HE)}(M_Z) = 0.123 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.002$ and the evaluation includes the effect of ordinary QCD (gluonic) corrections up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$. Using bounds on the possible difference between these two values, after combining errors, we find that the contribution from axigluons, due to the $f(\sqrt{s}/M_A)$ term, is bounded by $0.042 \pm 0.05 \geq f(M_Z/M_A)$ which we take to imply roughly that $f(M_Z/M_A) < 0.092$ (0.142) at the 1σ (2σ) or 65% (95%) confidence level. Using the expression for $f(z)$ in Ref. [3], we find that this corresponds to $M_Z/M_A < 0.16$ (0.25) or $M_A > 6.2M_Z$ ($4M_Z$) or

$M_A > 570 \text{ GeV}$ (365 GeV) at 65% (95%) confidence level. While this is a very simple estimate, given the substantial agreement of the various α_s measurements, axiguons as light as 120 GeV are obviously excluded. Measurements of similar quantities at higher energies at LEP (starting at $\sqrt{s} \approx 130 - 40 \text{ GeV}$ [13] and beyond the W^+W^- threshold), but with much lower statistics, do not improve on these limits. Nonetheless, this analysis easily excludes axiguons in the low-mass window once and for all. Chiral-color models and axiguons, if they have any relevance in nature, can only appear as new physics beyond the TeV scale.

Acknowledgments

One of us (M.A.D) acknowledges the support of Penn State University through a Research Development Grant (RDG). Both authors thank the organizers of the Pheno - CTEQ 98 meeting, where this work was begun, for their hospitality.

References

- [1] P. H. Frampton and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Lett. **190B**, 157 (1987); Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 2168 (1987).
- [2] F. Cuypers and P. H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1237 (1988) ; M. A. Doncheski, H. Grotch, and R. W. Robinett, Phys. Lett. **206B**, 137 (1988); M. A. Doncheski, H. Grotch, and R. W. Robinett, Phys. Rev. **D38**, 412 (1988).
- [3] F. Cuypers and P. H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 125 (1989); A. Falk, Phys., Lett. **B230**, 119 (1989); F. Cuypers, A. F. Falk, and P. H. Frampton, Phys. Lett. **B259**, 173 (1991).
- [4] J. Bagger, C. Schmidt, and S. King, Phys. Rev. **D37**, 1188 (1988).
- [5] C. Albajar *et al.* (UA1 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. **209B**, 127 (1988).
- [6] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **D41**, 1722 (1990); F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3538 (1995); F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration) Phys. Rev. **D55**, R5263 (1997).
- [7] Review of Particle Physics, Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. **54**, 1 (1996); see page 236 for the detailed review. The most recent web version (<http://pdg.lbl.gov/>) has the same limits.
- [8] M. A. Doncheski and R. W. Robinett, Phys. Lett. **B412**, 91 (1997).
- [9] M. S. Chanowitz, M. A. Furman, and I. Hinchliffe, Phys. Lett. **78B**, 285 (1978); Nucl. Phys. **B153**, 402 (1979).

- [10] R. W. Robinett, Phys. Rev. **D39**, 834 (1989).
- [11] P. Bhat, invited talk at PHENO-CTEQ-98: Frontiers of Phenomenology from Non-Perturbative QCD to New Physics, Madison, March 1998.
- [12] See Ref. [7], pp. 77-83.
- [13] M. Acciarri (L3 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. **B370**, 195 (1996); *ibid* **B371**, 137 (1996).